

HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/707,726	01/07/2004	Toshiharu Furukawa	FIS920030316US1	1725	
32074	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			HU, SHOUXIANG		
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-4	82		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2070 ROUTE			2811	•	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/707,726	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shouxiang Hu	2811	

	Shouxiang Hu	2811	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	-						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the corresponding amount of the fee.	ı) and the appropriate extension	ension tee nave on fee under 37				
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS		6 20 A b A A	<b>.</b>				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•		•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will I	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.			3				
	Stee	CULLED FL	-				
	SH	OUXIANO	•				

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added limitations regarding subject matters about "less than 5 %, three sigma" and "after said step of forming" and the deletion of the term of "insulator" substantially change the scope of claim 1 and/or the claims depending on claim 1, which would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The obvoiusness rejections set forth in the final rejection still stand.

Continuation of 13. Other: With respect to the 09-30-05 declaration, it it noted that at least the obviousness rejections set foth in the final rejection still stand.